CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 NINTH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5512 www.energy.ca.gov



Addendum 3

October 20, 2011

PON-11-501

GRANT SOLICITATION APPLICATION PACKAGE

2011 Emerging Technology Demonstration Grant Program (ETDG II)

The purpose of this addendum is to notify potential applicants of changes to the application manual since its original August release.

The following is a narrative explaining the changes to the manual:

Changes to the 2011 Emerging Technology Demonstration Grant Program application manual since the original August release involve issues related to the schedule, proposal workshop information, location of proposed demonstrations, total funding amount, latest allowable project completion date, the consolidation of all projects into one ranked list for the awarding of funds, and modified screening and scoring criteria related to economic investment in California.

The revised schedule can be found in section 13 in the application manual. The updated proposal workshop and WebEx meeting information can be found in section 14. All proposed demonstration sites must be located in an investor-owned utility service area. The \$14 million previously stated as the total solicitation funding amount is now a maximum; the actual amount available may be lower. The latest allowable project completion date is now March 30, 2015.

It was the desire of the Industrial, Agriculture and Water program area to fund at least one project from each of the four Targeted Technology Areas (see Attachment F). Therefore, previously the scoring team would have suggested that the first-ranked proposals in each of the four Targeted Technology Areas be given first priority for funding. Then, the four ranked lists would have been merged and the scoring team would have suggested for funds to be awarded in score order.

In light of the possibility of reduced total funding for the solicitation, it is not certain that it will be possible for four proposals to be awarded funds. Therefore, a more simple new approach will be used. Regardless of Targeted Technology Area categorization, all

proposals will appear in a single ranked list and the scoring team will suggest for funds be awarded in score order (see section 12).

For abstracts, new requirements and a new screening criterion have been added (see Attachment G). For full proposals, certain quantitative scoring criteria have been consolidated and a new criterion for economic investment in California has been added (see Attachment H).

The following is a list of where the significant changes appear in the manual:

Cover page (Revision date)
Application Manual sections 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 19, 20, and 23
Attachment G
Attachment H
Attachment I
Attachment J